

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 20, 2003 LB 608

speaking better to this; he's more involved with ethanol than I am. But I understand a significant portion of what comes out of an ethanol plant goes to feed cattle. Well, I would assume that that is calculated in terms of whether or not an ethanol plant is viable, that they can just run that feed down the road, rather than running it several hundred miles, or 200 miles, to a feedlot that's moved because we don't allow these credits. Then what about the people that work in these plants, maintenance-wise? You know, I would imagine that there's machinists that work on the conveyer lines, there's...I imagine there's some refrigeration, there's people that work...you know, the ancillary, the "nonemployees" of these facilities, that work on the air conditioning, or the air handling units in the refrigerators, things of that nature. I just think the far-ranging implications of adopting this and excluding packinghouses from the LB 775 credits, I think it's huge, and I think it will be devastating to our economy. I do want to speak to the amendment for just one second. And I'm going to yield my time to Senator Bromm, and hopefully there will be more than two seconds. But as I read the amendment...and again, I'm not trying to be critical; I'm trying to be constructive...I'm concerned there's several things that have been left out. It talks about violations of health, environment, safety, or labor, and I don't see anything there about immigration laws. Maybe we should consider that. Or what about white-collar crime? We have other industries that aren't particularly good actors, and maybe we should consider that. But here's my main concern with the amendment...and Senator Bromm can speak to this; I'll yield my time to him after I make this statement. I don't see where, in the amendment...and I could have missed it. I don't see where it strikes the exclusion for slaughterhouses, in Senator Bromm's amendment. So what I'm suggesting is, if we adopt Senator Bromm's amendment, and then we adopt FA1206, we have still excluded slaughterhouses from the LB 775 mix. And that's a concern of mine. And, Senator Bromm, I could very well have missed that language in there, but again, I don't see where you strike the language in your amendment that excludes packinghouses or slaughterhouses from the LB 775 mix. If you'd like the rest of my time, Senator Bromm, I'd yield that to you. Thank you.